

Good evening everyone.

This is the updated safety analysis of BRAF and McInibita, Encorfinib and Bini Matenib

in patients of lung cancers who are BRAF B600 and this is updated safety analysis after

the primary analysis after which the drug has been approved.

This is a far-toose phase 2 study where patients of BRAF B600 and mutant lung cancer

in both the lines.

In treatment name as well as previously treated patients were given and McInib and Bini Matenib

at a dose of 450 mg OD and 45 mg BD and given till progression or toxicity.

And this is the updated safety analysis.

The primary cut-off was done in July 2022 and now the data cut-off was in July 2023 and the previous primary end point was in September 2022.

After like September 2022 until July 23 almost in treatment name patients 42% patients were

ongoing in 2022 while in 23 almost 32% patients the treatment was ongoing in treatment name

patients and similarly 21% patients in previously treated cohort the treatment was ongoing in

2022 and now the treatment was ongoing in 10% of the patient in previously untreated.

So this is a safety analysis at 23.

The treatment related adverse event in overall population and at data cut-off which was

in July 23 it was the most common being nausea and diarrhea it was 52% and 44% respectively

which is not increased from last 10 months.

The primary cut-off at 50% and 43% the other side effects like fatigue, vomiting and anemia

they were almost similar which was seen in previous 10 months and majority of the side

effects were grade 1 only, grade 1 and 2 only.

And now dividing the patients at this current cut-off in treatment name versus previously

treated one and the patients who were treatment name had more nausea almost 60% patient had

nausea while in previously treated one only 40% patients had nausea and diarrhea almost

similar 41 versus 49% fatigue being 30% so the majority were grade 1 and grade 2 only

few were grade 3 and 4.

Similarly in the patients who were previously treated like 39 patients were previously

treated in the data who received prior immunotherapy versus those who did not receive any immunotherapy

the patient who received prior immunotherapy had significantly more fatigue and nausea

and vomiting compared to patients who did not receive any prior immunotherapy before starting

be refenibitine.

However diarrhea was similar so the treatment related adverse event leading to those modifications

and in the overall population almost 44% patient required those interruption and in previous cut-off in September 22, oh 22, violent current and in the current data analysis of

July 23 48% patient required rose interruption which is almost similar like those interruption

dose reduction almost 25% patient required which is not increased at current data cut-off.
So this is a very relevant dose continuation for seeing 15% patients and at present data cut-off it is almost seen in 16% patients so concluding and this updated safety analysis of anacoraphone plus binematin after 10 additional months of fall-off short similar treatment related adverse events with no new safety signals in treatment neighbors as previously treated patients when comparing patients with previously treated with or without immunotherapy there are some differences in adverse event profile but the small numbers in the post-shock analysis limits interpretation of the results.
The safety profile remains manageable and generally consistent with that seen with the earlier analysis and that established be rough of V600E mutant patients of metastatic melanoma using the same dosing regimen.
I think this is the abstract which is presented in WCLC and what the thanks participants.
Thank you.