Good evening. We will be discussing on crystal 12. It is a phase 3 trial of adiacrassip versus

dose-doxelin-trivastated KRS G12C mutator and SCLC. So, we know that KRSG-Scellator approval in the US and conditional approval in UK and Europe for locally advanced and

metastatic KRSG-C with KRSG-C mutation. So, the approval for based on phase 2 crystal

trial that found a deep durable responses with promising PFS and OS were seen in previous

rotated advanced KRS mutator and SCLC. So, adiacrassip is currently the category 2A recommendation for advanced KRSG-C mutator and SCLC with brain mats. So, this was the

trial in which E-COC PSO and 1 were previously treated immunotherapy or pattern based chemotherapies

were received and they were randomized into 2 arms. One is adiacrassip $600\,\mathrm{milligram}$

twice daily, another arm was dose-doxel 75 milligram per meter square every 3 week. So, the primary endpoint was PFS by BISCR and overall response rate by BIS here was secondary endpoint. So, the median characteristics were well matched in both the arms.

When we see that more than 65 years of age, that were also nearly 50 percent E-COC 0 and 1,

they are more or less E-COC 1 patient were more. The metastatic patients were 94, 95 percent,

only 5 to 6 percent patients were locally advanced. adiacrassip is a predominant histomethology based on the brain mats nearly 70 to 18 percent patients had baseline brain

mats. So, the PFS was in adiacrassip was 5.5 versus 3.8 in the dose-doxel with the hazards ratio

of 0.58 and 6 months PFS was 45 versus 30. So, when we talk about the PFS in all the subgroups,

Asian population, gender wise, smokers, non-smokers, we can see that the adiacrassip was better than

the dose-doxel. When we talk about the duration of response, it was 8.3 versus 5.4 months in adiacrassip

versus the dose-doxel. When we talk about the intra-crenidar response by a blended, inter-precedented central review, we can see that inter-crenidal overall response rate was

much better for adiacrassip versus the dose-doxel 24 versus 11.

So, we talk about safety. Usually, it was well tolerated. I mean, the grade 3 adverse effect

events were nearly 47 in adiacrassip and 46 in the dose-doxel. The predominant side effects were

diarrhea, vomiting, nausea and a raised transombinaries, which were commonly seen in this.

So, the conclusion is that the crystal 12 trial demonstrated longer PFS with adiacrassip than

with dose-doxel in patients with previously treated KRS, G12C, mutated NSLC. The number is 5.5 versus

3.8 months with a hazard ratio of 0.58. Overall response rates were significantly higher with

adiacrassip than with dose-doxel, 32 versus 9 percent. And it was active in patients with CNS

meds at baseline. Inter-crenidal overall response rate was 27 versus adiacrassip, 11 percent of dose-doxel. And investigators conclude that adiacrassip is an

effective treatment option for patients with NSLC and KRS G12C mutation, who are progressed on

chemotherapy and immunotherapy. Thank you so much.